arXiv:1409.4392v1 [math.AP] 15 Sep 2014

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE FREE BOUNDARY MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS WITH ZERO MAGNETIC BOUNDARY CONDITION

DONGHYUN LEE

Abstract. We show local existence and uniqueness of plasma(fluid)-vaccum free boundary problem of magnetohydrodynamic flow in three-dimensional space with infinite depth setting when magnetic field is zero on the free boundary. We use Sobolev-Slobodetskii space which was used in usual free boundary problem in [3,5,6,7,8,9]. We also show that this solution can be extended as long as we want for sufficiently small initial data. Using the result of this paper we will get a unique solution of (kinematic inviscid) - (magnetic non diffusive) free boundary magnetohydrodynamics problem via (kinematic viscosity) - (magnetic diffusivity) limit in [10].

1. Introduction

In 1980, local problem of free boundary problem without surface tension was solved by Beale [1], with horizontally infinite and finite depth setting, in the function space $K^r = L^2H^r \cap H^{r/2}L^2$. Taking surface tension into account, A.Tani [3] solved local existence of free boundary problem. About global existence, we introduce [2] by Beale and [4] by A.Tani and N.Tanaka respectively. Whereas these problems was solved in infinite setting, for finite mass(isolated mass problem setting), V.A.Solonnikov solved local problem of free boundary problem in Holder space in [11]. There are many another articles by Solonnikov, Termamoto, and Allain. See introduction in [3] for more information.

For about magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), we refer [6] for isolated mass setting when surface tension exist. He solved problem using Hanzawa coordinate transform to free boundary to use advantage in the case of surface tension. We solve MHD using Lagrangian coordinate tranform in infinite domain without surface tension, especially when magnetic field is always zero on the boundary. We also note that apriori estimate result [12] by Hao and Luo for inviscid and non magnetic diffusive free boundary MHD.

Let's formulate problem. Let velocity field $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$. Our domain is where occupied by plasma (or fluid) $\Omega(t)$ and write initial domain as $\Omega(0) \equiv \Omega$. We also write surface as $S_F(t)$, and initial surface as S_F. We solve incompressible viscous-diffusive magnetoydrodynamics (MHD) equations

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla p = \nu\triangle u + (H\cdot\nabla)H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2, & \text{in } \Omega(t)\\
\partial_t H + (u\cdot\nabla)H = \lambda\triangle H + (H\cdot\nabla)u, & \text{in } \Omega(t)\\
\nabla\cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega(t)\\
\nabla\cdot H = 0, & \text{in } \Omega(t)\\
p\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{D}(u)\mathbf{n} = gh\mathbf{n} + (H\otimes H - \frac{1}{2}I|H|^2)\mathbf{n}, & \text{on } S_F(t)\\
H = 0, & \text{on } S_F(t)\\
\partial_t h = u^b\cdot\mathbf{N}, & \text{in } \Omega(t)\\
u(0) = u_0, \quad H(0) = H_0, & \Omega(0)
\end{cases}
\tag{1.1}
$$

where ε is kinematic viscosity and λ is magnetic diffusivity. Generally $H_{\text{vac}} = H_{\text{pl}}$ on the boundary since non zero magnetic diffusivity means that plasma is not perfect conductor. Meanwhile, for perfect conductor,

$$H_{\text{vac}}\cdot\mathbf{n} = H_{\text{pl}}\cdot\mathbf{n}$$

by divergence free of H, whereas tangential component can be different, by the existence of surface current. (Maxwell equation) We simply set $H \equiv 0$ condition on the boundary. For about pressure, we define total pressure as sum of pressure and magnetic pressure,

$$P = p + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2$$

Of course, without loss of generality, we write P as p. Considering all of these, our system becomes,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u - (H\cdot\nabla)H + \nabla p = \nu\triangle u, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ \partial_t H + (u\cdot\nabla)H - (H\cdot\nabla)u = \lambda\triangle H, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ \nabla\cdot H = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ p\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{D}(u)\mathbf{n} = gh\mathbf{n}, \quad \text{on } S_F(t) \\ H = 0, \quad \text{on } S_F(t) \\ \partial_t h = u^b\cdot\mathbf{N}, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad H(0) = H_0, \quad \Omega(0) \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

with compatibility conditions

$$\begin{cases} \nabla\cdot u_0 = 0 \\ \nabla\cdot H_0 = 0 \\ H_0 = 0, \quad S_F \\ \Pi\mathbf{D}(u_0)\mathbf{n} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where Π means tangential comonent operator $\Pi = I - \mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}$. Note that h is means z component of boundary. This dynamical condition is equivalent to

$$\frac{DF}{Dt} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_F(t)$$

if $S_F(t)$ is represented by the equation $F(x,t) = 0$.
Now to solve the problem in the fixed domain, we transform the problem using Lagrangian map $X(t,\cdot) : \xi \to x$, define by

$$v(t,\xi) = u(t,X(t,\xi)) = u(t,x), \quad B(t,\xi) = H(t,X(t,\xi)) = H(t,x), \quad q(t,\xi) = p(t,X(t,\xi)) = p(t,x)$$

Let Π_ξ^x as corresponding transform from $\Omega(t), S_F(t)$ to Ω, S_F , Then system can be written in (t,ξ) in Ω,

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \nu\triangle_v v + \nabla_v q = B\cdot\nabla_v B \quad \text{in } Q_T \\ B_t - \lambda\triangle_v B = B\cdot\nabla_v v, \quad \text{in } Q_T \\ \nabla_v\cdot v = 0, v(0) = u_0, \quad \text{in } Q_T \\ \nabla_v\cdot B = 0, B(0) = H_0, \quad \text{in } Q_T \\ q - 2\nu\mathbf{D}_v(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)} = gh \quad \text{on } (S_{F,T}) \\ 2\nu\mathbf{D}(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)} - 2\nu(\mathbf{D}_v(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)})\mathbf{n}^{(v)} = 0 \quad \text{on } (S_{F,T}) \\ v(0) = u_0, \quad B(0) = H_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\} \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $Q_T \equiv \Omega\times(0,T)$, $S_{F,T} \equiv S_F\times(0,T)$, and

$$f^{(v)} = f^{(v)}(t,\xi) = \Pi_\xi^x f(t,x)$$

$$\nabla_v \equiv (\nabla_{v,1}, \nabla_{v,2}, \nabla_{v,3}) = \mathcal{G}\nabla = \mathcal{G}(\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \nabla_3)$$

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G}^{(v)} = \left(\frac{\partial X_v}{\partial\xi}\right)^{-t} = \left(I + \int_0^t (Dv)d\tau\right)^{-t}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_v(f) = \frac{1}{2}((D_v f) + (D_v f)^t)$$

We will solve (1.3) and (1.4) in Sobolev-Slobodetskii space. By $W_2^l(\Omega)$, we mean

$$\|u\|^2_{W_2^l(\Omega)} = \sum_{0\le|\alpha|<l} \|D^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|^2_{\dot{W}_2^l(\Omega)}$$

where

$$\|u\|^2_{\dot{W}_2^l(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \|D^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} & \text{if } l\in\mathbb{Z}, \\ \sum_{|\alpha|=[l]} \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2l}} & \text{if } l = [l] + l \notin \mathbb{Z}, 0 < l < 1 \end{cases}$$

We also define the anisotropic space $W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T))$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^2_{W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)} &= \|u\|^2_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|u\|^2_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} \\ &= \int_0^T \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{W_2^l(\Omega)} dt + \int_\Omega \|u(\cdot,x)\|^2_{W_2^{l/2}(0,T)} dx\end{aligned}$$

Next we define, $H_\gamma^{l,l/2}(Q_T), \gamma \geq 0$, by

$$\|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{l,l/2}(Q_T)} = \|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{0,l/2}(Q_T)}$$

where

$$\|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{l,0}(Q_T)} = \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{\dot{W}_2^l(\Omega)} dt$$

$$\|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} = \gamma^l \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} dt \int_0^\infty \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^k u_0(t,\cdot) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^k u_0(t-\tau,\cdot) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+l-2k}}$$

if $l/2$ is note an integer, $k = [l/2], u_0(t,x) = u(t,x)(t > 0), u_0(t,x) = 0(t < 0)$. If $l/2$ is an integer, then the double integral in the norm should be replaced by

$$\int_{-\infty}^T e^{-2\gamma t} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{l/2} u(t,\cdot) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} dt$$

and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^j u|_{t=0} (j = 0,1,2,\cdots,l/2-1)$ should be satisfied. We also introduce the space $H_\gamma^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}(\Gamma_T)$ by

$$\|u\|^2_{H_\gamma^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}(\Gamma_T)}$$

$$= \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \left(\|u\|^2_{\dot{W}_2^{l+1/2}(\Gamma)} + \gamma^l \|u\|^2_{\dot{W}_2^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \right) dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} dt \int_0^\infty \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^k u_0(t,\cdot) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^k u_0(t-\tau,\cdot) \right\|^2_{W_2^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+l-2k}}$$

if $l/2$ is note an integer, $k = [l/2], u_0(t,x) = u(t,x)(t > 0), u_0(t,x) = 0(t < 0)$. If $l/2$ is an integer, then the double integral in the norm should be replaced by

$$\int_{-\infty}^T e^{-2\gamma t} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{l/2} u(t,\cdot) \right\|^2_{W_2^{l/2}(\Gamma)} dt$$

and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^j u|_{t=0} (j = 0,1,2,\cdots,\frac{l}{2}-1)$ should be satisfied. Last, similarly as in [3], we also define,

$$\|u\|^{(l+2)2}_{Q_T} = \|u\|^{(l)2}_{Q_T} + \sum_{|s|=2} \|D_x^s u\|^{(l)2}_{Q_T} + \sum_{|s|=0}^1 \|D_x^s u\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

where

$$\|u\|^{(l)2}_{Q_T} = \|u\|^2_{W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l} \|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

Since we deal nonlinear terms on right hand side of (1.4), we will need L_T^∞ type norm. We define

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} = \|u\|^{(l+2)2}_{Q_T} + \sup_{t<T} \|u(t)\|^2_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

We will see that linearized problem of navier-stokes equation is solved in $H_\gamma^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$ when initial data is zero. Then with general initial data, solution is gained in $W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$. (theorem 3.1 and 3.3) Then nonlinear system will be solved in the space $H^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$ in section 4,5
Now we state main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *When $l \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, let initial surface $h_0 \in W_2^{l+5/2}$ (or S_F) and initial velocity $u_0 \in W_2^{l+1}(\Omega), H_0 \in W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)$, with compatibility conditions*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot u_0 = \nabla \cdot H_0 = 0, \quad \Omega \\ 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(u_0)n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(u_0)n_0 \cdot n_0)n_0] = 0, \quad on \quad S_F \\ H_0 = 0, \quad S_F \end{cases}$$

Then there exist a unique solution (u, q) to the system (1.4) such that

$$\|v\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_{T^*})} + \|B\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_{T^*})} + \|\nabla q\|_{W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_{T^*})} + \|q\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T^*})}$$
$$\leq C_0 \left(\|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|H_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|h_0\|_{W_2^{l+3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right)$$

for some $T^* > 0$. Moreover for any $T > 0$, we can choose sufficiently small ε such that if $E_0 \leq \varepsilon$, we have a unique solution on the time interval $[0, T]$.

Through this paper C is some constant that may vary line to line. When C depends on T, we write this as $C(T)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we state some lemmas. First let T satisfies

$$T^{1/2} \|u\|_{Q_T}^{(l+2)} \leq \delta \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $u, u' \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)$ satisfying (2.1) and for any $t \leq T$, the following inequalities holds:*

$$\left\| \mathcal{G}^{(u)} - \mathcal{G}^{(u')} \right\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_t)} \leq C_{21}(t, \delta) t^{1-l/2} \|u - u'\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_t)} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{(u)} - \mathcal{G}^{(u')} \right) \right\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_t)} \leq C_{21}(t, \delta) \|u - u'\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_t)} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{(u)} - \mathcal{G}^{(u')} \right) \right\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \leq C_{21}(t, \delta) t^{1/2} \|u - u'\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_t)} \tag{2.4}$$

where $C_{21}(t, \delta)$ is a positive constant depending increasingly on both arguments.

Proof. Lemma 4.1 of [3] □

Lemma 2.2. *Let δ_0 be a positive root of the equation $6\delta^2 + 6\delta - 1 = 0$. If $u, u' \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_{T_0})$ satisfying (2.1) with $\delta < \delta_0$, and if $0 < t \leq T_0$, then ,*

$$\|n^{(u)} - n^{(u')}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,t})} \leq C_{22}(t, \delta) t^{1-l/2} \|u - u'\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_t)} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n^{(u)} - n^{(u')}) \right\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,t})} \leq C_{22}(t, \delta) \|u - u'\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_t)} \tag{2.6}$$

where $C_{22}(t, \delta)$ is a positive constant depending increasingly on both arguments.

Proof. Lemma 4.3 of [3] □

Lemma 2.3. *For arbitrary $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ given in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$\|uv\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W_2^s(\Omega)}, \quad s > n/2, \quad l < n/2$$
$$\|uv\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W_2^{n/2-l}(\Omega)}, \quad l < n/2$$
$$\|uv\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|u\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W_2^s(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{W_2^l(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W_2^s(\Omega)} \right), \quad l, s > n/2$$

Proof. Proposition 1 of [6] □

3. LINEAR PROBLEM

3.1. **Stokes equation.** About linear problem, we already have a good result by A.Tani [3]. For the following system,

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \nu\triangle v + \nabla q = f \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ \nabla\cdot v = \rho \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ v(0) = u_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\ 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v)n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(v)n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] = d \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\ -q + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(v)n_0\cdot n_0 = b \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

This is a linear system with zero initial condition. From Theorem 2.1 in [3], we have

Theorem 3.1. *(linear result for zero initial data) Let $l > \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < T \le \infty$,γ be sufficiently large (say,$\gamma \ge \gamma_0 \ge 1$), and $S_F \in W_2^{l+3/2}$. Then for any data*

$$(f,\rho,d,b) \in H^{l,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)\times H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}_\gamma(Q_T)\times H^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}_\gamma(S_{F,T})\times H^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}_\gamma(S_{F,T})$$

satisfying the compatibility condition $d\cdot n_0 = 0$,$(\rho,d)|_{t=0} = 0$, and $\rho = \nabla\cdot R$ with $R \in H^{l+1,1,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)$, there exist a unique solution $(v,q) \in H^{l+2,l/2+1}_\gamma(Q_T)\times H^{l+1,1,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)$ to the problem (3.1)-(3.5) with $v_0 = 0$. Moreover we have the following estimate.

$$\|v\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}_\gamma(Q_T)} + \|q\|_{H^{l+1,1,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)} \tag{3.2}$$
$$\le C\{\|f\|_{H^{l,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)} + \|\rho\|_{H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}_\gamma(Q_T)} + \|R\|_{H^{0,l/2+1}_\gamma(Q_T)} + \|d\|_{H^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}_\gamma(S_{F,T})} + \|b\|_{H^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}_\gamma(S_{F,T})}\}$$

where $\|q\|^2_{H^{l+1,1,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)} \equiv \|q\|^2_{H^{l,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)} + \|\nabla q\|^2_{H^{l,l/2}_\gamma(Q_T)}$.

Now we solve for general data, $v_0 \neq 0$ in general. We follow the similar process as in [3],[5]. Main idea is to produce some function U_0 such that satisfying initial data $U_0(0) = v_0$ and bounded by v_0. First we need the following proposition about equivalent extension function.

Proposition 3.2. *1) For $v \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)$ where $1/2 < l < 1$, there is extension $U \in W_2^{r,r/2}(Q_{0,\infty})$ such that*

$$\|U\|^{(l+2)}_{Q_\infty} \le C\|v_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

2) Let $w(0) = 0$, $w \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)$, then there exist a extension $w_{ext} \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)$ such that

$$\|w_{ext}\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le C\|w\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

Proof. By trace theorem and Lemma 3.1 of [3], there exist a vector field $U_0 \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)$ satisfying $U_0(0) = v_0$, and the following inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\|\partial_t U_0(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{|s|=2}\|D^s U_0(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\frac{1}{|t|^l}dt + \|U_0\|^2_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le C\|v_0\|^2_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \tag{3.3}$$

Since U_0 is not function on finite time interval, we can use uniform interpolation, (see [1], for example)

$$\|\partial_t U_0\|^2_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_{(-\infty,0)})}, \|D^2 U_0\|^2_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_{(-\infty,0)})} \le c\|U_0\|^2_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_{(-\infty,0)})}$$

Hence, obviously,

$$\|U\|^{(l+2)}_{Q_T} \le C\|v_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}}, \quad \forall T > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

For second one, we can extend symmetrically beyond T. See [1] for example. □

Note that since U_0 is global function (in time) so constant is time-independent. Using the function U, we solve the same linear problem with general initial data. We also need the estimate of (v,B) in $C([0,T];W_2^s)$ type norm, since nonlinear terms on the right hand side, $B\cdot\nabla B$ and $B\cdot\nabla v$ should be estimated in the form of $CT^\gamma\Lambda(\|v\|,\|B\|)$ (for some positive γ), where Λ is a some nice function. Let's set $w = v - U$, where U take initial condition of v.

Theorem 3.3. *(linear problem with general data) Let $l \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $0 < T < \infty$ and $S_F \in W_2^{l+3/2}$. Assume that*

$$(f, \rho, u_0, (b, d)) \in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T) \times W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T) \times W_2^{l+1}(\Omega) \times W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})$$

and that $\rho = \nabla \cdot R$ with $R \in L^2(Q_T)$, $R_t \in W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)$. Also assume that the following compatibility conditions are satisfied.

$$\nabla \cdot u_0 = \rho|_{t=0}, \quad d|_{t=0} = 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v)n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(v)n_0 \cdot n_0)n_0]|_{S_F}, \quad d \cdot n_0 = 0$$

Then system (3.1) with general initial data has a solution $v \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T) \cap C_T W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)$, $q \in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$, $\nabla q \in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$, $q \in W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})$ with the estimate

$$\|v\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|q\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\nabla q\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|q\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\leq C_{33}(T)\{\|f\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\rho\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})} + \|R\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|R_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

$$+\|(b,d)\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\}$$

where $C_{33}(T)$ is time dependent constant on T nondecreasingly.

Proof. Let's write $w = v - U$, where U satisfies (3.11) and $U(0) = u_0$. Then (w, q) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \nu\triangle w + \nabla q = f - \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nu\triangle U \equiv f', \quad \text{in} \quad Q_T \\ \nabla \cdot w = \rho - \nabla \cdot U \equiv \rho', \quad \text{in} \quad Q_T \\ 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(w)\mathbf{n}_0 - (\mathbf{D}(w)\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_0)\mathbf{n}_0] = d - 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(U)\mathbf{n}_0 - (\mathbf{D}(U)\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_0)\mathbf{n}_0] \equiv d', \quad \text{on} \quad S_{F,T} \\ q - 2\nu\mathbf{D}(w)\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 \equiv -b', \quad \text{on} \quad S_{F,T} \\ w(0) = 0, \quad \Omega \times \{t = 0\} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Let's estimate (f', ρ', d', b'). The following steps resemble the proof of theorem 2 of [3].

$$\|f'\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \leq C(\|f\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)})$$

$$\|\rho'\|_{W_2^{l+1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C(\|\rho\|_{W_2^{l+1,0}(Q_T)} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)})$$

At time $t = 0$, $\nabla \cdot w_0 = 0 = \rho|_{t=0} - \nabla \cdot u_0$, and $\rho'|_{t=0} = 0$. If we write $\rho = \nabla \cdot R$, then

$$\nabla \cdot w = \rho' = \nabla \cdot (R - U) \equiv \nabla \cdot R'$$

which gives,

$$\|R'\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \leq \|R\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|U\|_{W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

From theorem 3.1 we know that we should estimate, $R' \equiv \nabla \cdot \rho'$. It is easy to estimate R'' which also satisfies $\rho' = \nabla \cdot R' = \nabla \cdot R''$ and $R''|_{t=0} = 0$, since $H_\gamma^{l,l/2}$ requires compatibility condition. Let φ solves Dirichlet Laplace problem,

$$\triangle\varphi = \nabla \cdot R' = \rho', \quad \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

and define $R'' \equiv \nabla\varphi$. Then

$$\rho' = \nabla \cdot R' = \nabla \cdot R''$$

Moreover, since initial condition is divergence free we can subtract initial condition from R'' while maintain divergence of it. It gives $R''|_{t=0} = 0$. Now we use R'' instead of R'. We have an estimate for R'' as follow.

$$\|R''\|_{H_0^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} = \|\nabla\varphi_t\|_{H_0^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} \leq C\|R'\|_{H_0^{0,l/2}(Q_T)}$$

$$\leq C\left(\|R_t\|_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|R_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right)$$

To estimate d', we use condition $d'|_{t=0} = 0$, Lemma 3.1 of [3] and the trace theorem to get

$$\|d'\|_{H_0^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \leq C\left(\|d\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right)$$

Similarly,

$$\|b'\|_{H_0^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}(S_{F,T})} \leq C\left(\|b\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b\|_{W_2^{1/2,0}(S_{F,T})} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right)$$

We apply the linear result of theorem 3.1 to get the following estimate for w.

$$\|w\|_{H_0^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|q\|_{H_0^{l+1,l,l/2}(Q_T)} \le e^{\gamma T}\left(\|w\|_{H_\gamma^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|\nabla q\|_{H_\gamma^{l,l/2}(Q_T)}\right) \tag{3.7}$$

$$\le Ce^{\gamma T}\{\|f'\|_{H_\gamma^{l,l/2}(Q_T)}+\|\rho'\|_{H_\gamma^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)}+\|R''\|_{H_\gamma^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}+\|d'\|_{H_\gamma^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}+\|b'\|_{H_\gamma^{l+1/2,1/2,l/2}(S_{F,T})}\}$$

Using the above estimates about f', ρ', R'', d', b', we get

$$\le C_*(T)\{\|f\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|\rho\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|R_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|R\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

$$+\|(d,b)\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b\|_{W_0^{1/2,0}(S_{F,T})} + \|v_0\|_{W_2^{l+2}(\Omega)}\}$$

where γ is just a fixed constant found in theorem 3.1 and the constant $C_*(T)$ depends on time T, nondecreasingly on T, which means it does not blow up as $T \to 0$.
Meanwhile, from boundary condition,

$$\|q\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \le \|2\nu\mathbf{D}(v)n_0 \cdot n_0\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + \|b\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \tag{3.8}$$

Lastly, we should estimate L_T^∞ type estimate of w, U. Since w has zero initial data, by proposition 3.2,

$$\|w\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \le \|w_{ext}\|_{C_\infty W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \le c\left(\|w_{ext}\|_{L^2_\infty W_2^{l+2}(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t w_{ext}\|_{L^2_\infty W_2^{l}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{3.9}$$

$$\le c\|w_{ext}\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le c\|w\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

where $w_{ext} \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}$ is an extension of w which satisfies (we can chose time-independent c, since $w(0) = 0$)

$$\|w_{ext}\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le c\|w\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

Similarly,

$$\|U\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \le \|U_{ext}\|_{C_\infty W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \le c\left(\|U_{ext}\|_{L^2_\infty W_2^{l+2}(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t U_{ext}\|_{L^2_\infty W_2^{l}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{3.10}$$

$$\le c\|U_{ext}\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le c\|v_0\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

where $U_{ext} \in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}$ is an extension of U which satisfies (See proposition 3.2)

$$\|U_{ext}\|_{W_2^{l+1,l/2+1}(Q_\infty)} \le c\|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

Now,

$$\|u\|^{(l+2)2}_{Q_T} + \sup_{t<T}\|u\|^2_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} \le C\left\{\|U\|^{(l+2)2}_{Q_T} + \sup_{t<T}\|U\|^2_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|w\|^{(l+2)2}_{Q_T} + \sup_{t<T}\|w\|^2_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right\}$$

Combining proposition 3.2, (3.7)-(3.10), we get the result. □

3.2. **Heat equation.** For B are,

$$\begin{cases} B_t - \lambda\triangle B = g \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ B = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_F \times (0,T) \\ B(0) = H_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega \times \{t = 0\} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

We know how to solve this equation. Using Duhamel's formula,

$$B(t) = e^{t\lambda\triangle}H_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\lambda\triangle}g(s)ds$$

where $\triangle$ is standard heat solver for Dirichlet boundary condition.

Theorem 3.4. *For above Dirichlet heat system, we have the following estimates.*

$$\|B\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \le C_{34}(T)\left(\|g\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|H_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{3.12}$$

where $C_{34}(T)$ depends on time T non-decreasingly.

Proof. Sobolev-Slobodetskii space $W_2^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is equivalent to standard sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. (see proposition 1.59 of [13]). By extend B to whole space (by setting as zero in the outside of Ω), we are suffice to make standard energy estimate after applying D^l to (3.11). □

4. constant coefficient nonlinear problem

We solve constant coefficient nonlinear problem in this section.

$$
\begin{cases}
v_t - \nu\triangle v + \nabla q = (B\cdot\nabla)B + f \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
\nabla\cdot v = \rho \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
v(0) = u_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\
2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v)n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(v)n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] = d \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
-q + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(v)n_0\cdot n_0 = b \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
B_t - \lambda\triangle B = (B\cdot\nabla)v + g \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
B = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
B(0) = H_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\}
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}
$$

We use theorem 3.3 and 3.4 of previous section to solve this system (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $l\in(1/2,1)$, and $S_F\in W_2^{l+3/2}(S_F)$. Assume that*

$$(f,\rho,u_0,(b,d))\in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)\times W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)\times W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)\times W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})$$

and that $\rho=\nabla\cdot R$ with $R\in L^2(Q_T)$, $R_t\in W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)$. Also assume that the following compatibility conditions are satisfied.

$$\nabla\cdot u_0=\rho|_{t=0},\quad d|_{t=0}=2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v)n_0-(\mathbf{D}(v)n_0\cdot n_0)n_0]|_{S_F},\quad d\cdot n_0=0$$

Then system (4.1) has a solution $v\in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)\cap C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)$, $q\in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$, $\nabla q\in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)$, $q\in W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})$

Proof. We make standard approximation scheme as follow.

$$
\begin{cases}
v_t^{(m+1)} - \nu\triangle v^{(m+1)} + \nabla q^{(m+1)} = (B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)B^{(m)} + f \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
\nabla\cdot v^{(m+1)} = \rho \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
v^{(m+1)}(0) = u_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\
2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v^{(m+1)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(v^{(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] = d \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
-q + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(v^{(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0 = b \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
B_t^{(m+1)} - \lambda\triangle B^{(m+1)} = (B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)v^{(m)} + g \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
B^{(m+1)} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
B^{(m+1)}(0) = H_0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\
(u^{(0)},q^{(0)},B^{(0)}) = (0,0,0)
\end{cases} \tag{4.2}
$$

From theorem 3.3 and 3.4, for given data $(v^{(m)},B^{(m)})$, we have a unique solution $(v^{(m+1)},q^{(m+1)},B^{(m+1)})$,

$$v^{(m+1)}\in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)\cap C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega),\quad B^{(m+1)}\in W_2^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)\cap C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)$$

$$q^{(m+1)}\in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T),\quad \nabla q^{(m+1)}\in W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T),\quad q\in W_2^{l,l/2}(S_{F,T})$$

To get uniform bounds, we use estimates of theorem 3.3 and 3.4 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(m+1)} &\doteq \|v^{(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|B^{(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \\
&+\|q^{(m+1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\nabla q^{(m+1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|q^{(m+1)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \\
&\le C_{41}(T)\{\|(B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)B^{(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|(B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)v^{(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|f\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|g\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \\
&+\|\rho\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})} + \|R\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|R\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \\
&+\|(b,d)\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|H_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}
$$

Proposition 4.2. *We have the following estimate.*

$$\|F\nabla G\|_{Q_T}^{(l)}\le C(T+T^{(1-l)/2}+T^{1/2})\|F\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|G\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

Proof.

$$\|F\nabla G\|^{(l)2}_{Q_T} = \|F\nabla G\|^2_{W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l}\|F\nabla G\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

$$= \|F\nabla G\|^2_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|F\nabla G\|^2_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l}\|F\nabla G\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

So, using algebraic property of Lemma 2.3, $(l+1 > \frac{3}{2})$

$$\|F\nabla G\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le \|F\nabla G\|_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|F\nabla G\|_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|F\nabla G\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

$$\le C\left\{T\|F\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + T^{-l/2}\|F\nabla G\|_{L^2(Q_T)}\right\}$$

$$+\left(\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|(F\nabla G)(t)-(F\nabla G)(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t-s|^{1+l}}dtds\right)^{1/2}$$

Let's focus on the last term. Let $t-s=h$. Domain is divided symmetrically into two region $t>s, s>t$, so by changing order of integral, we get

$$\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|(F\nabla G)(t)-(F\nabla G)(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t-s|^{1+l}}dtds$$

$$\le C\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|F(s)\nabla(G(s+h)-G(s))\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds + C\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|(F(s+h)-F(s))\nabla G(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$\le C\|F\|^2_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|G(s+h)-G(s))\|^2_{W_2^1(\Omega)}\,ds$$

$$+C\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|F(s+h)-F(s)\|^2_{W_2^1(\Omega)}\,ds$$

$$\le CT\|F\|^2_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+(l+1)}}\int_h^T \|G(s+h)-G(s))\|^2_{W_2^1(\Omega)}\,ds$$

$$+CT\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+(l+1)}}\int_h^T \|F(s+h)-F(s)\|^2_{W_2^1(\Omega)}\,ds$$

$$\le CT\|F\|^2_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|^2_{H^{1+2(\frac{l+1}{2}),\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l+1}{2}}(Q_T)} + CT\|G\|^2_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|F\|^2_{H^{1+2(\frac{l+1}{2}),\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l+1}{2}}(Q_T)}$$

$$\le CT\|F\|^2_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|G\|^2_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

For about the second term, similarly,

$$T^{-l/2}\|F\nabla G\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \le CT^{-l/2}\left(\int_0^T \|F\|^2_{W_2^{\frac{3}{2}-l}(\Omega)}\|\nabla G\|^2_{W_2^l(\Omega)}dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le CT^{(1-l)/2}\|F\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

Hence, we have the following estimate.

$$\|F\nabla G\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C\{T\|F\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + T^{(1-l)/2}\|F\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_TW_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

$$+T^{1/2}\|F\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|G\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\}$$

$$\le C(T+T^{(1-l)/2}+T^{1/2})\|F\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|G\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

□

Now, let's define data parts as

$$\mathcal{D}(T) \doteq \|f\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|g\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|\rho\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})} + \|R\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

$$+T^{-l/2}\|R\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|(b,d)\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|H_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}$$

So, (4.3) becomes,

$$\mathcal{A}^{(m+1)} \leq C_{41}(T)\left(\mathcal{D}(T) + (T + T^{(1-l)/2} + T^{1/2})\mathcal{A}^{(m)2}\right)$$

Uniform bound We can pick sufficiently small $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}^{(m)} \leq 2(C_{41}(0)+1)\mathcal{D}(T_0) \doteq M_{T_0}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Contraction mapping Let's define,

$$v^{(m+1)} - v^{(m)} \doteq \mathcal{V}^{(m+1)}, \quad B^{(m+1)} - B^{(m)} \doteq \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}, \quad q^{(m+1)} - q^{(m)} \doteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m+1)}$$

From (4.3),

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}^{(m+1)}_t - \nu\triangle\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)} + \nabla\mathcal{Q}^{(m+1)} = (B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{B}^{(m)} - (\mathcal{B}^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)B^{(m-1)} \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ \nabla\cdot\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)} = 0 \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ \mathcal{V}^{(m+1)}(0) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\ 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\ -\mathcal{Q} + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0 = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\ \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}_t - \lambda\triangle\mathcal{B}^{(m+1)} = (B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{V}^{(m)} - (\mathcal{B}^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)v^{(m-1)} \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\ \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\ \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}(0) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Again we use theorem 3.3 and 3.4 to get

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{(m+1)} \doteq \|\mathcal{V}^{(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|\mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

$$+\|\mathcal{Q}^{(m+1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|\nabla\mathcal{Q}^{(m+1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|\mathcal{Q}^{(m+1)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\leq C_{41}(T)\{\|(B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{B}^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|(\mathcal{B}^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)B^{(m-1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}$$

$$+\|(B^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{V}^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|(\mathcal{B}^{(m)}\cdot\nabla)v^{(m-1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}\}$$

Using proposition 4.2 again,

$$\leq C_{41}(T)(T + T^{(1-l)/2} + T^{1/2})M_{T_0}\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}$$

We can find small $T_1 > 0$, (Without loss of generality, we pick this so that smaller than T_0), such that

$$C_{41}(t)(t + t^{(1-l)/2} + t^{1/2})M_{T_0} < 1, \quad \forall t < T_1$$

since $C_{41}(T)$ is time dependent constant on T nondecreasingly. Hence we have an unique solution via fixed point argument. □

5. Full Nonlinear Problem

In this section, we solve (1.3) and (1.4), so give a proof of theorem 1.

5.1. **Full nonlinear system.** In this subsection, we solve,

$$
\begin{cases}
v_t - \nu\triangle_v v + \nabla_v q = B\cdot\nabla_v B, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
B_t - \lambda\triangle_v B = B\cdot\nabla_v v, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
\nabla_v\cdot v = 0, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
v(0) = u_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
B(0) = H_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
q - 2\nu\mathbf{D}_v(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)} = gh, \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}\\
2\nu\mathbf{D}(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)} - 2\nu(\mathbf{D}_v(v)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)})\mathbf{n}^{(v)} = 0, \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}
\end{cases}
\tag{5.1}
$$

Note that this system does not contain divergence free condition, since in section 3.2 heat equation, we did not give any condition about divergence free. Divergence free condition about H will be gained in the next section.

From above section, we have a unique solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{q})$ for $0\le t<T_1$ to system (4.1) with $(f,\rho,u_0,d,b) = (0,0,0,0,-gh)$. We find a solution of the form $(v,B,q) = (\mathbf{v}+v^*,\mathbf{B}+B^*,\mathbf{q}+q^*)$. Then system (5.1) becomes,

$$
\begin{cases}
v^*_t - \nu\triangle_v v^* + \nabla_v q^* = \nu(\triangle_v-\triangle)\mathbf{v} - (\nabla_v-\nabla)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_v-\nabla)\mathbf{B}\\
\qquad\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_v B^* + B^*\cdot\nabla_v\mathbf{B} + B^*\cdot\nabla_v B^*, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
B^*_t - \lambda\triangle_v B^* = \lambda(\triangle_v-\triangle)\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_v-\nabla)\mathbf{v}\\
\qquad\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_v v^* + B^*\cdot\nabla_v\mathbf{v} + B^*\cdot\nabla_v v^*, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
\nabla_v\cdot v^* = -\nabla_v\cdot\mathbf{v}, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
v^*(0) = u_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
B^*(0) = H_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
-q^* + 2\nu\mathbf{D}_v(v^*)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)} = -2\nu\mathbf{D}_v(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)} + 2\nu\mathbf{D}_v(\mathbf{v})n_0\cdot n_0, \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}\\
2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v^*)\mathbf{n}^{(v)} - (\mathbf{D}_v(v^*)\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)})\mathbf{n}^{(v)}] = -2\nu[\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}^{(v)} - (\mathbf{D}_v(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}^{(v)}\cdot\mathbf{n}^{(v)})\mathbf{n}^{(v)}], \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}
\end{cases}
\tag{5.2}
$$

As like in previous section, we make approximation scheme again,(note that $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}=0$)

$$
\begin{cases}
v^{*(m+1)}_t - \nu\triangle v^{*(m+1)} + \nabla q^{*(m+1)} = \nu(\triangle_m-\triangle)v^{(m)} - (\nabla-\nabla_m)q^{(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m-\nabla)\mathbf{B}\\
\qquad\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_m B^{*(m)} + B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathbf{B} + B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m B^{*(m)} \equiv f^{(m)}, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
B^{*(m+1)}_t - \lambda\triangle B^{*(m+1)} = \lambda(\triangle_m-\triangle)B^{(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m-\nabla)\mathbf{v}\\
\qquad\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_m v^{*(m)} + B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathbf{v} + B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m v^{*(m)} \equiv g^{(m)}, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
\nabla\cdot v^{*(m+1)} = (\nabla-\nabla_m)\cdot v^{(m)} \equiv \rho^{(m)}, \quad \text{in } Q_T\\
v^{*(m+1)}(0) = u_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
B^{*(m+1)}(0) = H_0, \quad \Omega\times\{t=0\}\\
-q^{*(m+1)} + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(v^{*(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0 = 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v^{(m)})n_0\cdot n_0 - \mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)}\cdot n^{(m)}] \equiv b^{(m)}, \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}\\
2\nu[\mathbf{D}(v^{*(m+1)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}_v(v^{*(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] = -2\nu\{[\mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)} - (\mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)}\cdot n^{(m)})n^{(m)}]\\
\qquad\qquad -[\mathbf{D}(v^{*(m)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(v^{*(m)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0]\} \equiv d^{(m)}, \quad \text{on } S_{F,T}\\
(v^{*(0)},B^{*(0)},q^{*(0)}) = (0,0,0)
\end{cases}
\tag{5.3}
$$

where

$$(v^{(m)},B^{(m)},q^{(m)}) = (\mathbf{v}+v^{*(m)},\mathbf{B}+B^{*(m)},\mathbf{q}+q^{*(m)})$$

and

$$\nabla_m \equiv \nabla_{v^{(m)}}, \quad \mathbf{D}_m \equiv \mathbf{D}_{v^{(m)}}, \quad n^{(m)} \equiv n^{v^{(m)}}$$

Also, $\rho^{(m)} = \nabla\cdot R^{(m)}$ where $R^{(m)} = (\mathbf{I}-\mathcal{G}^{(m)})v^{(m)}, \mathcal{G}^{(m)} \equiv \mathcal{G}^{v^{(m)}}$.

Using theorem 3.3 and 3.4 we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|v^{*(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|B^{*(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\\
&+\|q^{*(m+1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|\nabla q^{*(m+1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} + \|q^{*(m+1)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.4}
$$

$$\leq C_*(T)\{\|f^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|g^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})}+\|R^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$
$$+T^{-l/2}\|R_t^{(m)}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}+\|(b^{(m)},d^{(m)})\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}$$
$$+T^{-l/2}\|b^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})}+\|u_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}+\|H_0\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\}$$

Now our procedure is standard. We estimate right hand side of (5.4).

Uniform bound Let

$$T_1^{1/2}\|v^{(m)}\|^{(l+2)}_{Q_{T_1}}\leq\delta_1,\quad T_1^{1/2}\|B^{(m)}\|^{(l+2)}_{Q_{T_1}}\leq\delta_2$$

Using Lemma 2.1, with $u=u^{(m)}$ and $u'=0$, then we get (For example, see Tani [3] page 324)

$$\|f^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}\leq\nu\|\{([\mathcal{G}^{(m)}]^t-\mathbf{I})(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})+(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})\tag{5.5}$$
$$+([\mathcal{G}^{(m)}]^t-\mathbf{I})\}\nabla\cdot\nabla v^{(m)}+\{[\mathcal{G}^{(m)}\nabla]^t\cdot\mathcal{G}^{(m)}\}Dv^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})\nabla q^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}$$
$$+\|\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})\nabla\mathbf{B}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}(\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I})\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}$$
$$+\|\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{B}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}$$
$$\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)+\|\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{B}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla B^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}$$

using proposition 4.2,

$$\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)+C(T+T^{(1-l)/2}+T^{l/2})Z_m^2$$
$$\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)$$

where

$$Z_m\doteq\|v^{(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}+\|B^{(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}+\|q^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|\nabla q^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|q^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}$$

and $C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)$ is positive constant depending increasingly on both arguments with property that $C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)\to 0$ as $T\to 0$ and may vary line to line. Using exactly same argument, we have the same estimate for $g^{(m)}$,

$$\|g^{(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)\tag{5.6}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)\tag{5.7}$$

(5.8)
$$\|R^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)+C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(\|v^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1,0}(Q_T)}+\|Dv^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)})$$

$$T^{-l/2}\|R_t^{(m)}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)T^{(1-l)/2}Z_m(1+Z_m)\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)\tag{5.9}$$

$$\|d^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)\tag{5.10}$$

$$\|b^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}+T^{-l/2}\|b^{(m)}\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})}\leq C_{51}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)Z_m(1+Z_m)\tag{5.11}$$

Using above estimates (5.5)-(5.11),

$$Z_m^*\doteq\|v^{*(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}+\|B^{*(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}+\|q^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|\nabla q^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T}+\|q^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}$$
$$Z_{m+1}^*\leq h_0+h_1Z_m^*+h_2Z_m^{*2}$$

with positive constant h_0,h_1,h_2 with following properties.
$h_0=h_0(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)$ is monotone increasing function with all its argument.
$h_{1,2}=h_{1,2}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2)\to 0$ as $T\to 0$.
It is easy to get the extistence of z^* such that $Z_m^*\leq z^*$, then

$$Z_{m+1}^*\leq h_0+h_1z_m^*+h_2(z_m)^{*2}\leq z^*$$

(For example, see A.Tani [3] page 327). Hence we have uniform boundness

$$Z_m^*\leq z^*,\quad\forall m\tag{5.12}$$

Contraction mapping Let's use same notation like section 4.

$$v^{*(m+1)} - v^{*(m)} \doteq \mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)}, \quad B^{*(m+1)} - B^{*(m)} \doteq \mathcal{B}^{*(m+1)}, \quad q^{*(m+1)} - q^{*(m)} \doteq \mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)}$$

Using (5.3) for $m+1$ and m,

(5.13)

$$\begin{cases}
\mathcal{V}_t^{*(m+1)} - \nu\triangle\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)} + \nabla\mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)} = \nu(\triangle_m - \triangle_{m-1})v^{(m)} + \nu(\triangle_{m-1} - \triangle)\mathcal{V}^{*(m)} \\
\qquad +(\triangle_{m-1} - \triangle_m)q^{(m)} + (\triangle - \triangle_{m-1})\mathcal{Q}^{*(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{B} \\
\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})B^{*(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathcal{B}^{*(m)} \\
\qquad +B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{B} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathbf{B} \\
\qquad +B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathcal{B}^{*(m)} + B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})B^{*(m-1)} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}B^{*(m-1)} \equiv f^{*(m)} \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
\nabla\cdot\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)} = (\nabla_{m-1} - \nabla_m)\cdot v^{(m)} + (\nabla - \nabla_{m-1})\cdot\mathcal{V}^{*(m)} \equiv \rho^{*(m)} \\
\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)}(0) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\} \\
2\nu[\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0] \\
\qquad = 2\nu\{[\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m)})n_0 - (\mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)} - \mathbf{D}_{m-1}(v^{(m-1)})n^{(m-1)})] \\
\qquad -[(\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m)})n_0\cdot n_0)n_0 - ((\mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)}\cdot n^{(m)})n^{(m)} \\
\qquad -(\mathbf{D}_{m-1}(v^{(m-1)})n^{(m-1)}\cdot n^{(m-1)})n^{(m-1)})]\} \equiv d^{*(m)} \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
-\mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)} + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)})n_0\cdot n_0 = 2\nu[\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{V}^{*(m)})n_0\cdot n_0 - (\mathbf{D}_m(v^{(m)})n^{(m)}\cdot n^{(m)} \\
\qquad -\mathbf{D}_{m-1}(v^{*(m-1)})n^{(m-1)}\cdot n^{(m-1)})n^{(m-1)}] \equiv b^{*(m)} \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
\mathcal{B}_t^{*(m+1)} - \lambda\triangle\mathcal{B}^{*(m+1)} = \lambda(\triangle_m - \triangle_{m-1})B^{(m)} + \lambda(\triangle_{m-1} - \triangle)\mathcal{B}^{*(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{v} \\
\qquad +\mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})v^{*(m)} + \mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathcal{V}^{*(m)} + B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{v} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathbf{v} \\
\qquad +B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathcal{V}^{*(m)} + B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})v^{*(m-1)} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}v^{*(m-1)} \equiv g^{*(m)} \ \text{ in } \ Q_T \\
\mathcal{B}^{*(m+1)} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ S_{F,T} \\
\mathcal{B}^{*(m+1)}(0) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\{t=0\}
\end{cases}$$

Using theorem 3.3 and 3.4 (with $v_0, H_0 = 0$), we have

(5.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{m+1}^* &\equiv \|\mathcal{V}^{*(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|\mathcal{B}^{*(m+1)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \\
&+\|\mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\nabla\mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\mathcal{Q}^{*(m+1)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} \\
&\le C_*(T)\{\|f^{*(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|g^{*(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} + \|\rho^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})} + \|R^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \\
&+T^{-l/2}\|R_t^{*(m)}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|(b^{*(m)}, d^{*(m)})\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})}\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_*(T)$ is nondecreasingly time dependent constant, which means it does not blow up as $T\to 0$. Now we estimate right hand side of (5.13).

$\|\mathbf{f}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{Q_T}}^{(\mathbf{l})}$: First, similarly as (5.5)

$$\|\nu(\triangle_{m-1} - \triangle)\mathcal{V}^{*(m)} + (\triangle - \triangle_{m-1})\mathcal{Q}^{*(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y_m^*$$

Secondly, similar to [3] page 328, (using Lemma 2.1),

$$\|\nu(\triangle_m - \triangle_{m-1})v^{(m)} + (\triangle_{m-1} - \triangle_m)q^{(m)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y_m^*$$

Third, similar to above two estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})B^{*(m)} \\
&+B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})\mathbf{B} + B^{*(m)}\cdot(\nabla_m - \nabla_{m-1})B^{*(m-1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)(Y_m^*)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we should estimate,

$$\|\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathcal{B}^{*(m)} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathbf{B} + B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathcal{B}^{*(m)} + \mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}B^{*(m-1)}\|_{Q_T}^{(l)}$$

Proposition 5.1. *We have the following estimate.*

$$\|FG\|_{Q_T}^{(l)} \le C_{51}(T)\|F\|_{H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)}\|G\|_{H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)}$$

where $C_{51}(T)$ depends on T non decreasingly.

Proof.

$$\|FG\|^{(l)2}_{Q_T} = \|FG\|^2_{W_2^{l,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l}\|FG\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$
$$= \|FG\|^2_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|FG\|^2_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l}\|FG\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

So, using algebraic property of Lemma 2.3, $(l+1 > \frac{3}{2})$

$$\|FG\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le \|FG\|_{W_2^{l,0}(Q_T)} + \|FG\|_{W_2^{0,l/2}(Q_T)} + T^{-l/2}\|FG\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$$
$$\le C\left\{\|F\|_{L^2_T W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_T W_2^l(\Omega)} + T^{-l/2}\|F\|_{L^2_T W_2^1(\Omega)}\|G\|_{C_T W_2^l(\Omega)}\right\}$$
$$+ \left(\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|(FG)(t)-(FG)(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t-s|^{1+l}}dtds\right)^{1/2}$$

As like proposition 4.2,

$$\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|(FG)(t)-(FG)(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t-s|^{1+l}}dtds$$
$$\le C\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|F(s)(G(s+h)-G(s))\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds + C\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1+l}}\int_h^T \|(F(s+h)-F(s))G(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$\le C\left(\|F\|^2_{C_T W_2^l(\Omega)}\|G\|_{H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)} + \|G\|^2_{C_T W_2^l(\Omega)}\|F\|_{H^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(Q_T)}\right)$$

Hence, we get the result. □

Now, using proposition 4.2,

$$\|\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C(T+T^{(1-l)/2}+T^{l/2})\|\mathbf{B}\mathcal{G}^{(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$

Using proposition 5.1 above, we can derive

$$\|\mathbf{B}\mathcal{G}^{(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \le C\|\mathbf{B}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\|\mathcal{G}^{(m)}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}$$
$$\le C\|\mathbf{B}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\left(\|\mathcal{G}^{(m)}-\mathbf{I}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)} + \|\mathbf{I}\|_{H^{l+2,l/2+1}(Q_T)}\right)$$

At result,

$$\|\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y^*_m$$

Similarly,

$$\|\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}\mathbf{B}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y^*_m$$
$$\|B^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_m\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y^*_m$$
$$\|\mathcal{B}^{*(m)}\cdot\nabla_{m-1}B^{*(m-1)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)(Y^*_m)^2$$

Considering all together,

$$\|f^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)\left(Y^*_m + (Y^*_m)^2\right) \tag{5.15}$$

$\|\mathbf{g}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|^{(\mathbf{l})}_{\mathbf{Q_T}}$: This terms are nearly similar to $\|\mathbf{f}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|^{(\mathbf{l})}_{\mathbf{Q_T}}$, so simply we can get,

$$\|g^{*(m)}\|^{(l)}_{Q_T} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)\left(Y^*_m + (Y^*_m)^2\right) \tag{5.16}$$

$\|\rho^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})}}$: From [3] (4.38),

$$\|\rho^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1,(l+1)/2}(S_{F,T})} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y^*_m \tag{5.17}$$

$\|\mathbf{R}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)}}$: From [3] (4.39),

$$\|R^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{0,l/2+1}(Q_T)} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)Y^*_m + C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)(\varepsilon + C_\varepsilon T^{1/2})Y^*_m \tag{5.18}$$

$\mathbf{T^{-l/2}}\|\mathbf{R_t^{*(m)}}\|_{\mathbf{L^2(Q_T)}}$: From [3] (4.40),

$$T^{-l/2}\|R^{*(m)}_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)T^{(1-l)/2}Y^*_m \tag{5.19}$$

$\|\mathbf{b}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}} + \|\mathbf{d}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})}} + \mathbf{T^{-l/2}}\|\mathbf{b}^{*(\mathbf{m})}\|_{\mathbf{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})}}$: From [3] (4.41),

(5.20)

$\|b^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + \|d^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l+1/2,l/2+1/4}(S_{F,T})} + T^{-l/2}\|b^{*(m)}\|_{W_2^{l/2,0}(S_{F,T})} \le C_{52}(T,\delta_1,\delta_2,z^*)T^{(1-l)/2}Y_m^*$

where $C_{52}(T,\delta,z^*)$ is a positive constant depending increasingly on each argument with the property that $C_{52}(T,\delta,z^*) \to 0$ as $T \to 0$. Now we put (5.14)-(5.19) together to derive,

$$Y_{m+1}^* \le \chi Y_m^* \tag{5.21}$$

where $\chi < 1$ if we pick a ε and sufficiently small T(depends on ε, and of couse, smaller than T_1 of theorem 4.1. Hence, by contraction mapping principle, we solve (5.1). To finish the proof of theorem 1.1 we should show that divergence-free property of H locally propagates from $\nabla \cdot H_0 = 0$.

5.2. **Divergence free of H.** Note that in heat equation for B of section 3, we have no information about divergence free. So, system (5.1) (which was solved in section 5) does not necessarily satisfy divergence free condition of magnetic field H. We recover our system in Eulerian coordinate, and claim that divergence-free property of $H(t)$ locally propagates from initial condition $\nabla \cdot H_0 = 0$. We appeal to maximum principle of convection-diffusion equation. Now we have,

$$\begin{cases} H_t + (u\cdot\nabla)H - (H\cdot\nabla)u = \lambda\triangle H \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

Taking divergence to above equation, (and let $\mathcal{H} \equiv \nabla\cdot H$)

$$\mathcal{H}_t + (u\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{H} + (\nabla u) : (\nabla H)^t - (\nabla H) : (\nabla u)^t - (H\cdot\nabla)(\nabla\cdot u) = \lambda\triangle\mathcal{H}$$

hence we have

$$\mathcal{H}_t + (u\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{H} - \lambda\triangle\mathcal{H} = 0$$

Then by maximum principle of convection-diffusion equation,

$$\|(\nabla\cdot H)(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \|\mathcal{H}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \|\mathcal{H}(0)\|_{L^\infty} = \|\nabla\cdot H_0\|_{L^\infty} = 0$$

during the time interval that solution H exist. Hence we finish the proof.

5.3. **Longer time existence for small data.** In this subsection we claim the last statement of the theorem 1.1 via continuation argument. We should estimate data after short time. Since our regularity includes $L^\infty W_2^{l+1}$ type norm, so it is very easy to estimate data at time T^*. First let's define,

$$E(t) = \|v(t)\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|B(t)\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|h(t)\|_{W_2^{l+3/2}(\Omega)}$$

Now, assume that we have local solution on time interval $[0,T^*]$, when initial data $E(0) \doteq E_0 \le \varepsilon_0$, for some ε_0. Then from the inequality of theorem 1.1, we get

$$\sup_{T^*/2\le t\le T^*} \left(\|v(t)\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)} + \|B(t)\|_{W_2^{l+1}(\Omega)}\right) \le C_0E_0 \tag{5.22}$$

Meanwhile, from kinematic boundary condition,

$$\sup_{T^*/2\le t\le T^*} \|h(t)\|_{W_2^{l+3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \|h_0\|_{W_2^{l+3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C_1\int_0^{T^*} \|v(s)\|_{W_2^{l+2}(\Omega)}ds \le (1 + C_0C_1T^*)E_0 \tag{5.23}$$

(6.1) and (6.2) gives

$$\sup_{T^*/2\le t\le T^*} E(t) \le (1 + C_0 + C_0C_1T^*)E_0$$

Now we pick $\varepsilon = \min\left(\varepsilon_0, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{1+C_0+C_0C_1T^*}\right)$. Then if $E_0 \le \varepsilon$, this estimate guarantee that $E(T^*) \le \varepsilon_0$, so by starting at T^*, we get a solution on $[0,2T^*]$. This procedure means, for any T, we can choose sufficiently small ε such that if $E_0 \le \varepsilon$ implies a unique solution exists on $[0,T]$.

Acknowledgments

The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1211806.

References

[1] J. T. Beale, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with a free surface*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1980), pp. 359-392.

[2] J. T. Beale, *Large-time regularity of viscous surface waves*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 84(1984), 307-352

[3] A. Tani *Small-Time Existence for the Three-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations for an Incompressible Fluid with a Free Surface*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 133(4):299331, 1996

[4] A. Tani and N. Tanaka *Large-time existence of surface waves in incompressible viscous fluids with or without surface tension*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 130(1995), 303-314

[5] M. Padula and V. A. Solonnikov *On the local solvability of free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Probl. Mat. Anal. Tamara Rozhkovskaya, 50, 87-133 (2010)

[6] M. Padula and V. A. Solonnikov *On the free boundary problem of magnetohydrodynamics*, J. Mat. Sci, 178(3), 313-344 (2011)

[7] M. Padula and V. A. Solonnikov *On the local solvability of free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Probl. Mat. Anal. Tamara Rozhkovskaya, 50, 87-133 (2010)

[8] V. A. Solonnikov *On the linear problem arising in the study of a free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, St. Peter. Math. J, 22, 1023-1049 (2011)

[9] V. A. Solonnikov *On initial-boundary value problem for the Stokes system arising in the study of a free boundary problem*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 188, 150-188 (1990)

[10] D. Lee *kinematic viscosity - magnetic diffusivity limit of free boundary MHD*, preprint

[11] V. A. Solonnikov *Solvability of a problem on the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid bounded by a free surface(in Russian)*, Izvestija AN SSSR, Ser. Mat. 41(1977), 1388-1424

[12] C. Hao and T. Luo *A priori estimates for free boundary problem of incompressible inviscid magnetohydrodynamics flows*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal, 212 (2014), 805-847

[13] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin, R. Danchin *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Springer

Donghyun Lee
Courant Institute, 251 Mercer street, NY 10012
donghyun@cims.nyu.edu